

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,136	02/14/2002	Ring-Ling Chien	100/13010	8859	
21569 759	06/01/2006		EXAMINER		
CALIPER LIFE SCIENCES, INC. 605 FAIRCHILD DRIVE			ALEXANDER, LYLE		
	IEW, CA 94043-2234		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/076,136	CHIEN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lyle A. Alexander	1743	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this common	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ma</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5-21 and 32-36 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5-21 and 32-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. r election requirement.	Evaminar	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Explanation is objected to by the Explanation is objected.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive 1 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	2)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3,5-6 and 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Manz et al.(USP 5,599,503).

Manz et al. teach a microfluidic system(4). Figure 3 teaches a first channel(20) that has a first end in communication with inlet channels (17-19). The second and opposite end of channel (20) is met orthogonally by channel(21) that also has a first end and a second end. Column 4 liens 20+ teach channel(20) is facilitates optical analysis and has been read on the claimed "detection channel".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manz et al.

See Manz et al. Supra.

Manz et al. are silent to the claimed detection channel having a cross section area about 0.1 and 5 times the cross sectional area of at least one of the first and second channels. Manz et al. is also silent to the dimensions of the channels and volumes.

The court decided <u>In re Boesch</u> (205 USPQ 215) that optimization of a result effective variable is ordinarily within the skill of the art. A result effective variable is one

that has predictable and well-known results. The relative dimension of channels and of a device area a result effective variable that have the well known and the expected results of altering fluid flow rates through the device.

The court decided <u>In re Yount</u> (80 USPQ 141) "... mere size ordinarily is not a matter of invention...". Additionally, the court also reiterated Yount in <u>In re Rose</u> (105 USPQ 237) again stating "... the size of the article under consideration is not ordinarily a matter of invention ...".

It would have been within the skill of the art to modify Manz et al. and have the detection channel (117) between 0.1 and 5 times the cross sectional area of the first channel and the length of the detection channel from about 10 microns to 1mm, as optimization of a result effective variable and also in view of Yount and Rose above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' remarks were correct in noting that on 11/4/04 a terminal disclaimer was properly filed that obviates the obviousness type double patenting rejection over 10/225,454.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,136

Art Unit: 1743

Applicants' traverse the 35 USC 102(b) rejection over "Manz" on the basis this reference does not meet the claimed limitation of "a detection channel segment that is substantially orthogonal to the first plane ...". Applicants' acknowledge "Manz" in figure 3 teaches channels (20) and (21) that are substantially orthogonal to each other. Applicants further state these channels(20,21) are in the same base(6) and are actually parallel to each other rather than orthogonal. The Office does not understand how channels(20,21) are parallel to each other and not orthogonal as shown in figure 3. The Office maintains "Manz" meets the instant claim language.

Page 4

Applicants' traverse the 35 USC 103 rejections on the basis that the 35 USC 102 rejections are improper. The Office maintains all of the rejections of record are proper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

